

**SUMMARY of the Decision of the Inquiries, Complaints and Reports Committee  
(the Committee)**  
(Information is available about the complaints process [here](#) and about the Committee [here](#))

**Dr. Ahmed Almamar (CPSO# 101543)  
(the Respondent)**

**INTRODUCTION**

The College received information about criminal charges against the Respondent related to a domestic dispute. The Respondent had not reported the charges to the College on his CPSO Annual Renewal Survey as he was obliged to do. Subsequently, the Committee approved the Registrar's appointment of investigators to investigate the matter.

**COMMITTEE'S DECISION**

A General Panel of the Committee considered this matter at its meeting of October 11, 2023. The Committee required the Respondent to appear before a Panel of the Committee to be cautioned with respect to lack of compliance with his professional obligations, including lack of professionalism in not reporting criminal charges to the CPSO (including on his 2019 CPSO annual renewal form), and failing to report the release conditions from those charges. The Committee also obtained an undertaking from the Respondent to complete professional education related to ethics and boundaries.

**COMMITTEE'S ANALYSIS**

The Committee noted that, although the issues related to the Respondent's conduct did not involve patient care, this was a high-risk matter given the fact that the Respondent was the subject of criminal charges related to allegations of domestic abuse. The issues were resolved by the Respondent's entering into a common law peace bond.

The Committee was concerned that the Respondent did not disclose the charges to the College as he was required to do, even though he completed his annual renewal to the College shortly after the charges were laid and the annual renewal specifically asks if the member has been charged with any offence.

The Committee was further concerned that the Respondent again failed to disclose critical information to the College by reporting only that the charges against him had been withdrawn, but without reporting that this was achieved through his agreement to enter into a peace bond.

The Committee observed that, throughout the investigation of this matter, the Respondent either misled the College or underreported critical information. This did not reassure the Committee of the Respondent's ability to uphold his duties as a member of the College to behave in a professional manner, with honesty and integrity, and to cooperate with College processes and investigations as he is obligated to do as a member of the College.

Given its concern about the manner in which the Respondent approached this matter in his interactions with the College, the Committee believed he would benefit from a caution in person as outlined above.