

**SUMMARY of the Decision of the Inquiries, Complaints and Reports Committee
(the Committee)**
(Information is available about the complaints process [here](#) and about the Committee [here](#))

**Dr. Harmander Gill (CPSO #88802)
(the Respondent)**

INTRODUCTION

The College received information that the Toronto Police Service had charged the Respondent with uttering a forged document. The charge against the Respondent was stayed in March 2021.

The Committee approved the Registrar's appointment of investigators to investigate the issue.

COMMITTEE'S DECISION

A Family Practice Panel of the Committee considered this matter at its meeting of June 1, 2023. The Committee required the Respondent to appear before a Panel of the Committee to be cautioned with respect to his failure to disclose the criminal charge against him as soon as reasonably practicable, as required by the *Health Professions Procedural Code* (the Code), which is Schedule 2 to the *Regulated Health Professions Act, 1991*, S.O. 1991, c.18.

COMMITTEE'S ANALYSIS

Section 85.6.4 of the Code requires members to file a report in writing with the Registrar if the member has been charged with an offence. The report is to include information about every bail condition or other restriction imposed on, or agreed to by, the member in connection with the charge and must be filed as soon as reasonably practicable after the member receives notice of the charge, bail condition or restriction.

There is no record of the Respondent filing a report with the Registrar regarding his charge in accordance with section 85.6.4 of the Code.

The Committee found the Respondent's failure to report his charges to the College to be very concerning. Moreover, upon review of the Respondent's history with the College, the Committee noted a disturbing pattern of unprofessionalism in the Respondent's behaviour. The Committee would have considered referring this matter to the Ontario Physicians and Surgeons Discipline Tribunal (OPSDT or the Discipline Tribunal) if the Respondent held a certificate of membership with the College.

Instead of referring this matter to the Discipline Tribunal, the Committee decided to require the Respondent to appear before a panel of the Committee to be cautioned, as noted above. The information from this investigation will be available in any contested hearing about the Respondent's reinstatement.