

**SUMMARY of the Decision of the Inquiries, Complaints and Reports Committee
(the Committee)**
(Information is available about the complaints process [here](#) and about the Committee [here](#))

Dr. Reginald Mieczyslaw Gorczynski (CPSO 61102)
(the Respondent)

INTRODUCTION

The College received information raising concerns about the Respondent's compliance with an undertaking he signed with the College in July 2016, restricting him from prescribing narcotics, controlled drugs, benzodiazepines and other targeted substances, and all other monitored drugs (and as amended from time to time). Subsequently, the Committee approved the Registrar's appointment of investigators to conduct an investigation into the matter.

COMMITTEE'S DECISION

A General Panel of the Committee considered this matter at its meeting of March 2, 2022. The Committee required the Respondent to attend at the College to be cautioned in person with respect to his repeated breaches of the terms of his undertaking.

COMMITTEE'S ANALYSIS

While the Committee acknowledged the Respondent's statement of regret and his assurances that he had learned from his errors (in inadvertently prescribing controlled/monitored drugs) and would double check drugs in the future to ensure he is not restricted from prescribing them, it was concerned that this was not the first instance of such errors on the Respondent's part.

The Respondent had other instances of failing to comply with his undertaking. This was the third occasion that breaches have been brought to the Respondent's attention. On each occasion, he indicated his understanding of the importance of not making assumptions about his ability to prescribe certain drugs and promised to show more care in the future. Despite being informed (after the second breach) that a further breach would be brought before the Committee, the Respondent failed to demonstrate the necessary caution in ensuring that he adhered to the terms and conditions set out in his undertaking.

It is the Respondent's responsibility to be aware of the drugs he is not permitted to prescribe and to adhere to the terms of his undertaking. If he is in any way uncertain, or is prescribing a new or unfamiliar drug, he should be reviewing the list(s) to ensure he is in compliance with his undertaking. The Respondent should know what is on the list(s) and understand what he is not to be prescribing and why.

Given the Respondent's repeated breaches of the terms of his undertaking, the Committee was of the opinion that it was appropriate to caution him as set out above.