

## NOTICE OF PUBLICATION BAN

In the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario and Dr. Sanchez, this is notice that the Discipline Committee ordered that there shall be a ban on the publication, including broadcasting of the names of patients, or any information that could identify the patients under subsection 47(1) of the Health Professions Procedural Code (the Code), which is Schedule 2 to the *Regulated Health Professions Act, 1991*.

Subsection 93(1) of the Code, which is concerned with failure to comply with these orders, reads:

Every person who contravenes an order made under ... section 45 or 47... is guilty of an offence and on conviction is liable,

- (a) in the case of an individual to a fine of not more than \$25,000 for a first offence and not more than \$50,000 for a second or subsequent offence; or
- (b) in the case of a corporation to a fine of not more than \$50,000 for a first offence and not more than \$200,000 for a second or subsequent offence.

**Indexed as: Ontario (College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario) v. Sanchez,  
2017 ONCPSD 26**

**THE DISCIPLINE COMMITTEE OF  
THE COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS OF ONTARIO**

**IN THE MATTER OF** a Hearing directed by  
the Inquiries, Complaints and Reports Committee of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of  
Ontario pursuant to Section 26(1) of the **Health Professions Procedural Code**  
being Schedule 2 of the *Regulated Health Professions Act, 1991*,  
S.O. 1991, c. 18, as amended.

**B E T W E E N:**

**THE COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS OF ONTARIO**

**- and -**

**DR. ARTURO SANCHEZ**

**PANEL MEMBERS:** **DR. CAROLE CLAPPERTON (CHAIR)**  
**MR. SUDERSHEN BERI**  
**DR. JOHN WATTS**  
**MAJOR ABDUL H. KHALIFA**  
**DR. DENNIS PITT**

**COUNSEL FOR THE COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS AND SURGEONS OF ONTARIO:**

**MS CAROLYN SILVER**

**COUNSEL FOR DR. SANCHEZ:**

**MS NADA NICOLA-HOWORTH**

**INDEPENDENT COUNSEL FOR THE DISCIPLINE COMMITTEE:**

**MR. ROBERT COSMAN**

**Hearing Date:** May 1, 2017  
**Decision Date:** May 1, 2017  
**Release of Written Reasons:** June 15, 2017

**PUBLICATION BAN**

## DECISION AND REASONS FOR DECISION

The Discipline Committee (the “Committee”) of the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario heard this matter at Toronto on May 1, 2017. At the conclusion of the hearing, the Committee released a written order stating its finding that the member committed an act of professional misconduct, in that he has been found guilty of an offence that is relevant to his suitability to practice, and setting out its penalty and costs order, with written reasons to follow.

### THE ALLEGATIONS

The Notice of Hearing alleged that Dr. Arturo Sanchez committed an act of professional misconduct:

1. under paragraph 27.32 of Regulation 448 of the Revised Regulations of Ontario 1980 made under the *Health Disciplines Act* (“O. Reg. 448/80”); paragraph 29.33 of Regulation 548 of the Revised Regulations of Ontario 1990 made under the *Health Disciplines Act* (“O. Reg. 548/90”); and under paragraph 1(1)33 of Ontario Regulation 856/93 made under the *Medicine Act, 1991* (“O. Reg. 856/93”), in that he has engaged in conduct or an act or omission relevant to the practice of medicine that, having regard to all the circumstances, would reasonably be regarded by members as disgraceful, dishonourable or unprofessional;
2. under paragraph 27.29 of O. Reg. 448/80 and paragraph 29.30 of O. Reg. 548/90, in that he engaged in sexual impropriety with patients;
3. under paragraph 51(1)(b.1) of the Health Professions Procedural Code which is schedule 2 to the *Regulated Health Professions Act, 1991*, S.O. 1991, c.18 (the “Code”), in that he engaged in sexual abuse of patients; and
4. under clause 51(1)(a) of the Code, in that he has been found guilty of an offence that is relevant to his suitability to practise.

## **RESPONSE TO THE ALLEGATIONS**

Dr. Sanchez entered a plea of no contest to allegation 4, that he committed an act of professional misconduct, in that he has been found guilty of an offence that is relevant to his suitability to practise. Counsel for the College withdrew allegations 1, 2, and 3 in the Notice of Hearing.

## **THE FACTS**

The following facts were set out in an Agreed Statement of Facts, which was filed as an exhibit and presented to the Committee:

1. Dr. Sanchez was a paediatrician who had a practice in Toronto, Ontario. Dr. Sanchez is no longer a member of the College. He resigned his certificate of registration on November 16, 2015.

### **Criminal Conviction**

2. On December 7, 2015, Dr. Sanchez was convicted of two counts of indecent assault with respect to Patient A and one count of indecent assault with respect to Patient F. A copy of the Reasons for Judgment is attached at Tab 1 [to the Agreed Statement of Facts]. The trial Judge's findings with respect to Patients A and F include the following:

### **Patient A**

3. The trial judge found that when Patient A was 14 and 15 years old, she was hospitalized in Toronto in the 1960s. The trial judge further found that during these admissions, Dr. Sanchez touched Patient A's breasts and vagina for a sexual purpose.
4. The trial judge found that one night during Patient A's second hospital admission, Dr. Sanchez came in her room during the night, got on top of her and touched her breasts and vagina. This was done for his sexual gratification and not for any medical purpose.

### **Patient F**

5. When Patient F was approximately 11 years old, she was prescribed weekly allergy shots. Dr. Sanchez would come to her home to give her these shots.

6. The trial judge found that on one of these weekly visits, Dr. Sanchez gave Patient F a hug from the back and put his hands down the front of her shirt. On another occasion, Dr. Sanchez touched Patient F's breast for a sexual purpose.

### **Criminal Sentencing**

7. On March 30, 2016 Dr. Sanchez was sentenced to 18 months incarceration. A copy of the Reasons for Sentencing released March 30, 2016 is attached at Tab 2 [to the Agreed Statement of Facts].
8. In imposing sentence, the trial Judge found that "the degree of responsibility attributable to the accused is at the high end of the scale – a deliberate breach of trust by a person of significant power and authority." She found that aggravating factors included that the victims' were under 18 years of age, that the accused was in a position of trust, that the offences had considerable impact on the victims and that the assaults were persistent and lengthy, planned and deliberate and were not a spur of the moment thing.

### **No Contest**

9. Dr. Sanchez pleads no contest to the fact that he has been found guilty of an offence that is relevant to his suitability to practise.

### **DISCIPLINE COMMITTEE RULE on a PLEA OF NO CONTEST**

Rule 3.02 of the Discipline Committee's Rules of Procedure regarding a plea of no contest states as follows:

3.02(1) Where a member enters a plea of no contest to an allegation, the member consents to the following:

- (a) that the Discipline Committee can accept as correct the facts alleged against the member on that allegation for the purposes of College proceedings only;

- (b) that the Discipline Committee can accept that those facts constitute professional misconduct or incompetence or both for the purposes of College proceedings only; and
- (c) that the Discipline Committee can dispose of the issue of what finding ought to be made without hearing evidence.

## **FINDING**

The Committee reviewed the Agreed Statement of Facts and attachments, including the Reasons for Judgment and Reasons for Sentencing from Dr. Sanchez's criminal hearing. The Committee accepts as correct all of the facts set out in the Agreed Statement of Facts. Having regard to these facts, the Committee finds that Dr. Sanchez committed an act of professional misconduct, in that he has been found guilty of an offence that is relevant to his suitability to practise.

## **SUBMISSIONS ON PENALTY and VICTIM IMPACT STATEMENTS**

Counsel for the College and counsel for Dr. Sanchez made a joint submission as to an appropriate penalty and costs order. The proposed penalty includes the immediate revocation of Dr. Sanchez's certificate of registration and a reprimand. The proposed costs order calls for Dr. Sanchez to pay costs to the College of a one-day hearing at the current College tariff.

Counsel for the College filed as exhibits two Victim Impact Statements, one from each of the patients whom Dr. Sanchez had been found guilty of indecently assaulting. In their Victim Impact Statements, the patients eloquently describe the lifelong negative effects of Dr. Sanchez's sexual offences. Both patients describe far reaching and profound effects on their relationships with family, partners and children; on their childhood and on their schoolwork; on their relationships and feelings about physicians. They describe their need for and the costs of counselling and therapy, and the way in which Dr. Sanchez's offences had an impact on their failing to receive some forms of medical care due to their distrust of physicians.

The Victim Impact Statements had a powerful influence on and are incorporated by the Committee into its reasons, to illustrate the devastating impact that such conduct can have on the lives of patients. Some parts that contain identifying information have been removed.

***Victim Impact Statement of Patient A***

“I was assaulted by Dr. Sanchez at a point in life where a young girl is just beginning to understand the role of friends and life away from family and home. At 14, I should have been focussing on school, but I was not able to concentrate. I was fairly bright but my marks were in the 50s and 60s. I told no one what had happened to me. It was my deep secret and it was something that eventually caused a distance between my mom and I. I was only able to share that with her when I was in my thirties. Of course that was another guilt reaction for me, which made things even worse. Luckily my mom was a strong lady and she managed to keep our relationship as close as it could be, but with a big family, I am sure she did not have time for my special needs.

I had been originally treated for bladder and urinary tract infections, and since that all had to do with my female body parts, in my mind I had somehow brought all of this upon myself. Since doctors were authority figures, I must have done something wrong to cause this. I hated to be touched by a doctor and I hated anything that brought attention to those parts of my body.

As time passed I was able to push all of that back into my mind, but then I began to have boyfriends, and ultimately a husband. I hated to be touched by my husband. I shuddered when he did. It took many years for me to pass that reaction. I did not tell him what was wrong, and I am sure he thought it was his fault. My feelings of guilt and panic made my early married years very difficult. I didn't believe I deserved a husband or my children.

Several years after we married, we moved, and one of my children was referred to a pediatrician and I finally recognized her as one of the other interns who were in the hospital during the time that Dr. Sanchez was assaulting me. I told her the story and she was horrified, and told me that he was a practising pediatrician in downtown Toronto. From that point on, I followed him as best I could, in the days before the internet, checking his address etc. I was referred to a psychiatrist

during this period, and she helped me to understand that what had happened was not my fault and that I had to let go of this and look to the future. With her help I was able to put things into perspective, but never forget it either. I was always inclined to panic about my other child's safety, and innocent things, such as an uncle holding her on his lap, made me sick with worry.

Many years later, my husband and I were watching the evening news and saw that Dr. Sanchez had been arrested. My husband encouraged me to call the police and report what had happened to me many years before. However, that opened up a lot of things that I had buried years before, and so I was again back to dealing with all of that.

Even today, I think, that the girl that was abused back then by Dr. Sanchez should have spoken up then, and maybe many others would not have been hurt. Maybe. I still have to live with that. It will always be with me, the hurt and panic and guilt.”

### ***Victim Impact Statement of Patient F***

#### ***“Emotional Impact***

The emotional impact of having been sexually assaulted by Dr. Arturo Sanchez has been significant and continues until this very day.

All I remember before Dr. Sanchez started coming to my home to give me allergy injections was that I was the happiest girl – what always comes to mind are the words ‘free spirit’. I was just so bubbly and curious and enjoying a stable, structured childhood surrounded by loving parents and relatives. It was wonderful and normal and just what it should have continued to be like. But with each one of Dr. Sanchez's visits, my anxiety began and steadily increased. I felt the childhood joy gradually be replaced by uneasiness and ultimately fear. I felt confused and troubled by his repeated phrase of “if you were older, I would marry you.” Everything in me, even at that young age, knew something wasn't right - when he put his arms around my neck and down my top, when he pulled me in close to him so tightly to the point where it took away my breath.



And then in June [year], when he asked me if I was starting to develop, I still remember that distinct feeling of fear and dread in the pit of my stomach. I still remember so clearly the two sentences that changed my life forever and how he said them – “Let’s see how developed you are....” And, after lifting my t-shirt, “let’s feel how developed you are...”

After he touched my breast, I felt my childhood ended immediately after that. That is not the age to have your first experience being touched by a man. It was too young, too soon, and at a delicate developmental stage when I was just entering into puberty. After he left that day, I ran into the washroom and cried and was never the same. I didn’t feel like a happy little girl anymore. The free spirit was gone. The joy was gone. The trust of others was gone. It was over for me. I always say my childhood ended. The best way to put it is that it completely messed me up.

From that day onwards, I began to withdraw within myself. I had an inner sadness that has never left me to this day, no matter how hard I try. I had that spontaneous, childhood happiness just stripped away from me, so unfairly, and have lived with chronic anxiety and depression ever since. I have struggled with suicidal feelings. I wish I could be happy and positive like others I see around me. It seems so easy and natural for them. I try, but I feel it permanently changed my emotional makeup. Every single day of my life is a struggle to not be depressed. I’m always praying “God, please help me not to be depressed, please help me not to be depressed.” This is what I live with; it is no way to live, and it didn’t have to be this way.

My relationship with others was immediately affected. It completely and permanently changed my view of men. I became very distrusting and suspicious of all men and still don’t trust men at all. Suddenly, I doubted the sincerity of every male relative or family friend. I now dreaded any hug from an uncle or male relative; I was suspicious and angry and began to hate every man. I was no longer able to enjoy the normal, affectionate nature of my culture. I feel such an inner disgust and repulsion of men. To this day, I still believe with all my heart that ultimately every man only wants sex. Nobody can convince me otherwise. I truly believe that, no matter how much I try to be rational about it and know that not every man could be that way.

It has also affected my ability to show physical demonstrations of affection. I rarely hug men – not my father, not my father-in-law; I rarely hug my husband, and if I do, it is only on his initiative. Sometimes he just wants me to lie beside him and watch TV, and I find that even hard to do. I can't bring myself to hug my own child. I feel so guilty about it – but I just cannot bring myself to give him a big hug. He doesn't get hugs from his mother. It breaks my heart. Who has to consciously try to hug their own child?

The effects have been most pronounced on my marriage. Even though I met someone I feel I can trust, the lack of the most basic acts of affection I offer - even simple things like holding hands, hugging, kissing, along with my disinterest and un-involvement in sex, has caused ongoing problems, arguments, and resentment in my marriage. To this day, I just cannot STAND having my breasts touched, and it always quickly ends with me pulling my husband's hands away. Something that should be natural and pleasurable for me has been taken away from me. I don't openly show any kind of sexual feeling. I just want sex to be over, and quickly. I often feel like a failure as a wife. Again, something that was meant to be a natural source of intimacy and pleasure in a marriage, to strengthen that marital bond, has been taken away from me.

I feel so dead inside. I wish so much that I could feel what others feel and enjoy that part of my life. Dr. Sanchez ruined that for me. It changed me forever.

My children have been affected by this too. They have been exposed to anger and resentment because of our arguments. They have had to live with a mother who is anxious, depressed, who cries often, who openly says how much she hates men. I haven't been able to model to them what an affectionate marriage should look like, and I'm afraid that this will affect their future relationships also.

I often have flashbacks of when Dr. Sanchez touched me. They are random and happen unexpectedly. I have flashbacks of waiting at the window on Saturday mornings, looking through the curtains, waiting to see his car coming down the road, my heart just pounding in fear. It was the 'not knowing what was going to happen that day' which was also so traumatic for me. To have to wonder if this was going to be the day things would progress and Dr. Sanchez would

maybe touch me again, another part of my body, or ask me to do something – maybe pull my pants down – oh God, that’s what I feared the most. The possibility of being raped was a constant fear, as I had even documented that in my diary at the time. I distinctly remember thinking that I would have no choice but to do whatever he asked me. He had all the power. It was such a completely different time when there was no open talk at school or in general about what is inappropriate touching and how to have a voice to say ‘no.’ He was the adult – he was the doctor – and I would have probably done anything he asked me to do. To have to experience this panic and fear every week was so traumatic and too much for a young girl at that age to have to deal with – completely on my own – because I was too scared and embarrassed to tell my parents - and left me with inevitable emotional scars. I should have been wondering what I was going to play that Saturday; instead, my mind was frantically racing, thinking of how I would protect myself from whatever Dr. Sanchez had in mind to possibly do to me that day.

When I visit my parents at my childhood home – when I go into the kitchen where it happened – that’s all I see – I re-live it immediately – the entire offence, and I feel myself just sink into sadness. My own home where I grew up has negative connections.

I also cannot see male doctors. It always ends up with me starting to panic; my heart starts racing, and I end up having to explain my past experience about what happened to me as a child.... It is so absolutely humiliating. This happened again recently when my family doctor had a male medical student seeing her patients. I totally forgot the reason why I had gone to the appointment. I’m a grown woman, a professional – and yet I was reduced to this powerless, scared little girl – it was the most depressing, embarrassing, and humiliating experience. I truly still believe that every male doctor is a sexual abuser, or at least ultimately wants sexual gain if they could get it. I have absolutely no trust in them, and do not want to be touched or examined by any male doctor. This is how profoundly I was affected by what Dr. Sanchez did.

### ***Physical Impact***

One of the physical impacts that this has had on me is that I avoid doctors as much as possible, and so this has made my health suffer as I just ignore or cope with whatever physical problems I have and self-diagnose a lot. Even though I have a female doctor, I avoid complete physical exams, as I hate to have my body exposed or touched. I teach my students about cancer and the importance of early cancer detection, and yet I have not had a complete physical in over 13 years! I just cannot bring myself to make that appointment.

I have trouble falling asleep, and I only sleep about three or four hours a night. I feel tired and stressed and find it difficult to function during the day. Since coming forward to the police a few years ago, I have gained weight as I find comfort in eating, and I eat to ease my depression. I also suffer from irritable bowel syndrome, a very painful condition which gives me unexpected attacks of severe abdominal pain that lasts for hours, and which has consistently been shown to have a direct correlation with prior sexual abuse, and I quote from the May-June 2015 *Innovations in Clinical Neuroscience*: “According to the available studies, there appears to be a distinct relationship between a history of childhood sexual abuse and IBS.”

### ***Economic Impact***

The economic impact that this has had on me is that I had to pay for counselling that I decided to go to for one year before I got married. I made this decision on my own, in my 20's, because I knew there were going to be ongoing issues in my marriage. Nobody in my family knew I was going to weekly therapy sessions, and I paid for them all on my own, at the same time as I was putting myself through school and working two part-time jobs.

### ***Conclusion***

The saddest part of it all is that I will never, ever know what kind of happy and affectionate person, wife, mother I could have grown up to have been - I have no way of knowing or getting that back. It changed the whole course of my life and who I became. There is no way of getting all those years back. Dr. Sanchez took that all away from me at a young age. I wonder every day what my life, my marriage, would have been like if I had normal feelings of affection, if I didn't

have this constant struggle with sadness and depression, and what it would be like to have normal sexual desire and feelings.

I thought that after the ‘guilty verdict’, I would begin to heal from everything, that it would somehow suddenly open up my heart and feelings and allow me to feel closer to my husband and be more affectionate. But nothing has changed; I still feel dead inside, the depression remains, and I have come to realize the stinging reality of just how permanent the effects of this abuse of power experienced at such a young age have been. And while he is fighting his jail sentence, I have been living my sentence since that young age and am still living it.

What Dr. Sanchez didn’t take away is my inner strength and my sincere desire to help people; I truly care with all my heart about people who are suffering, and luckily I have found so much comfort and fulfilment in my profession. It has made me a strong patient advocate. I also love that I can mold my students to be empathetic and caring. As part of my profession, I understand how much patients are relying on on medical professionals, especially when they are weak and in need. There is a natural expectation of trust, and I can’t even imagine violating that.

So while Arturo Sanchez has enjoyed a full, normal life - both professionally and personally – well into his senior years - I had my childhood, my innocent view of everything, tainted and abruptly cut short. Dr. Sanchez made a conscious decision to take advantage of my complete vulnerability and fear as I stood in front of him that day, all alone in my own home, and I am the one who has had to live with all the destructive effects of this since that young age – and continue to do so.”

## **PENALTY and REASONS FOR PENALTY**

The Committee accepted the joint submission and ordered the immediate revocation of the certificate of registration of Dr. Sanchez, as well as ordering a reprimand. The Committee is aware of and accepts the legal principle that a joint submission on penalty should be accepted by the Committee, unless accepting it would bring the administration of justice into disrepute, or it is otherwise contrary to the public interest.

The Committee reviewed the proposed penalty in light of the following principles that are to be taken into account when considering a penalty:

- the penalty must provide public protection;
- the penalty must serve both as general deterrence for the members of the profession and as specific deterrence for the individual member;
- the penalty must be such that it maintains public confidence in the integrity of the profession and demonstrates to the public the ability of the College to govern the profession effectively in the public interest; and
- where appropriate, the penalty may provide the opportunity for rehabilitation of the individual member.

The offences, for which Dr. Sanchez was found guilty in a criminal proceeding, were extremely serious. They were, in the words of the Judge at Dr. Sanchez's trial "persistent and lengthy, planned and deliberate, and not a spur of the moment thing." Dr. Sanchez's offences involved more than one victim and were progressively more intrusive. The offences were committed to provide Dr. Sanchez with sexual gratification and caused harm to his victims. Most significantly, the victims were children (age 11 in the case of Patient F, and age 14-15 in the case of Patient A). As a physician, Dr. Sanchez was in a position of significant trust to these children, who experienced horror, bewilderment and feelings of guilt at the actions of one, whom they expected to provide treatment and care.

In *CPSO vs. Noriega* (2015), the Committee stated that children have inherent vulnerabilities that require an extra measure of protection. It is expected that Dr. Sanchez as a paediatrician would recognise these vulnerabilities and protect children, rather than take advantage of them for his own selfish purposes. The Committee determined that revocation is the only penalty which adequately reflects public and professional abhorrence at his behaviour and meets the need to uphold the honour and integrity of the profession. A reprimand is a necessary component of the penalty, so that the abhorrence felt by the profession and the public may be directly and publicly stated by the Committee to him.

Dr. Sanchez has no prior history with the Discipline Committee. His plea of no contest relieved his victims of the need to testify and relive their experiences. However, a plea of no contest is neither an admission of guilt, nor is it an expression of remorse, and the Committee does not accept it as a mitigating factor.

The Committee was provided with a number of prior cases (*CPSO vs. Waller* (2003), *CPSO vs. Gallagher* (2011), *CPSO vs. Onzuka* (2013), *CPSO vs. Woollam* (2014) and *CPSO vs. Fung* (2016)), in which a similar penalty, including revocation, was imposed for misconduct that included being found guilty of the offence of sexual assault. The case of *CPSO vs. Dr. Fung* (2016) involved an assault with intent to commit sexual abuse and indecent contact with a child. Recognising that these cases are not identical with the subject case, and that the Committee is not bound by prior Discipline Committee decisions, the Committee accepts that the proposed penalty and costs order is consistent with the Committee's previous actions and present views, and is appropriate and necessary in this case.

## **ORDER**

The Committee stated its finding of professional misconduct in paragraph 1 of its written order of May 1, 2017. In that order, the Committee ordered and directed on the matter of penalty and costs that:

2. The Registrar revoke Dr. Sanchez's certificate of registration effective immediately.
3. Dr. Sanchez appear before the panel to be reprimanded.
4. Dr. Sanchez pay costs to the College in the amount of \$5,500.00 within thirty (30) days of the date this order

At the conclusion of the hearing, Dr. Sanchez waived his right to an appeal under subsection 70(1) of the Code and the Committee administered the public reprimand.

**TEXT of PUBLIC REPRIMAND**  
**Delivered May 1, 2017**  
**in the case of the**  
**COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS and SURGEONS of ONTARIO**  
**and**  
**DR. ARTURO SANCHEZ**

**THE CHAIRPERSON:**

Dr. Sanchez, the male members of this Panel, both public members and physicians, are just as appalled and offended by your conduct as I am. For this reason, I've asked your peer, Dr. Watts, who is also a paediatrician, to deliver the reprimand.

**DR. WATTS:**

Dr. Sanchez, you've been found guilty of an offence; that to a paediatrician in particular, is totally reprehensible and disgraceful. As a paediatrician, you should have had special knowledge of the vulnerabilities of children, and it is clear you took advantage of those vulnerabilities. The profound impact of your criminal behaviour was clearly apparent from the Victim Impact Statements that we heard.

The basic tenet of medical practice is first, do no harm. Something we learn in medical school. You violated that principle in the most egregious manner. Your actions were deliberate, they were repeated, and they are not inadvertent. They were done purely for your sexual satisfaction.

As we heard from Ms. L.C., your offences had far reaching effects, not only on her, but also on her relationships with her family, husband, children, and others in her life. And moreover, the harm you caused extended far beyond those families. You did significant harm to the medical profession, as Ms. L.C. pointed out; she is unable to trust doctors and has resisted medical care. Most doctors are compassionate and caring, but the whole profession has been tainted by your actions.



Dr. Sanchez, I hope that the victims' words are burned into your memory. If they are not, you're going to need a reminder of what they said, and you can be assured that this Committee's decision, when it's released, will contain most of their poignant and eloquent submissions that we heard today.

*This is not an official transcript*